Feeding and baiting deer is big business. Each year millions of dollars are spent feeding and baiting deer in the hopes that added nutrition will improve the racks on bucks or that big bucks will be lured out into an opening for harvest. But do these practices really work? This presentation will define what supplemental feeding and baiting are, expose some common misconceptions about both, and outline some responsible methods if baiting and feeding are to be used.
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What do deer really need? White-tailed deer have nutrient requirements. Unfortunately many of these requirements are lacking in our native vegetation due to either poor soils and/or the lack of proper habitat management. By providing those nutrients at the required levels many deer managers feel like they can increase population numbers, maximize herd health conditions, and increase production (lactation and antler development). And they feel that they can do this by using a variety of products.
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This practice of applying feed in enough quantity for an extended length of time for the purpose of increasing the carrying capacity and/or relative condition of a local wildlife population is known as supplemental feeding. But does it really work?
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Here is an example of 4 actual deer clubs in AR and their antler characteristic data for 2.5 and 3.5 year old bucks. These deer clubs are in the same county and deer zone, but are not adjacent to one another. See if you can guess which two clubs actively implement a year-round supplemental feeding program (*these clubs are using high protein feed and not corn). Did you guess Clubs A and C? Is there a significant enough difference in antler characteristics to justify spending thousands of dollars each year in supplemental feed? Why are the antler characteristics not significantly larger for those clubs that artificially feed?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inside Spread Age</th>
<th>Beam Length Age</th>
<th>Beam Circumference Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Club A</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Club B</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Club C</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Club D</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maybe...but not as much as you would think.
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The reason is this: Remember that we said that deer will consume about 7 lbs. of food per day.

Research in TX has shown that Free-ranging deer populations with average habitat: WTD consume ~ 2 lbs. of supplemental feed/day, or about 25% of their daily diet.

The remaining 75% of their diet comes from native vegetation. If you take into consideration those amounts and the available protein present, that equals about 12.5%. Remember that we said that 11% is needed for body maintenance and ~16% is needed for optimal lactation and antler development.

Why is this...There are several reasons that deer do not utilize more feed than what is provided. And implementing a system like this requires a lot of $.

Lactic acidosis/ruenenitis: Loss of rumen function has been reported in deer consuming large quantities of food high in carbohydrates. Grain (wheat or barley) was present in the rumen of 76.7% of deer with rumenitis compared to 22.8% of deer in which rumenitis was not found during a severe winter in Saskatchewan (Wobeser and Runge, 1975).
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Here are those same 4 hunting clubs. This table is illustrates hunter observation data. You can see where the difference lies. The number of deer seen per hour (relative abundance) and the fawn:doe ratio are greatly increased. Is this helping or hurting your deer management efforts if you are already over-run with deer?

Two benefits to supplemental feeding is that 1) this can be a method to level out season-to-season forage fluctuations (but there is a better practice to do this and we will explore that in the next presentation) and 2) it is a way for managers in extremely poor habitats to increase deer herd numbers...Is that a good thing? In Arkansas we have very few areas with a shortage of deer!
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The practice of baiting is different than supplemental feeding, although the two terms are often mistakenly interchanged. Baiting is feeding for a relatively short period of time for the sole purpose of attracting wildlife.

Does it work? Well you saw in the previous slide the increase in relative abundance.
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Somebody thinks that it does!

- 831 AR DMAP Clubs sent survey
- 16% responded to survey
- 89% used supplemental feed/bait
- 96% used corn
- Of respondents that used corn:
  - 100% used during hunting season
  - 45% used during the summer
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You can see that most visitations are at night and the hunters effort to success ratio are better in un-baited locations.

Conclusion: using bait may actually be making it harder to harvest that trophy buck.
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Mineral Licks: Are they necessary?

Mature-hardened antlers are comprised of: (~11 different minerals): Calcium (19.01 %), Phosphorus (10.13%), Magnesium (1.09%), Sodium (0.50%)

Fact: Close to 90% of the use of mineral licks by deer occurs during the spring and summer months

Fiction: Deer need mineral licks to maximize antler and body growth

Fact: Reason for use = sodium deficiency due to high potassium and available water content of forage
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MS study: correlation between soil mineral content and body size, not antler size

Studies conducted at Penn State, Auburn, & in LA were unable to detect any differences in body size or antler development.

Several other studies: soils highly deficient in Phosphorus and not augmented through fertilized food sources (i.e. food plots) do exhibit reduced antler growth.

Conclusion: Phosphorus supplementation may increase antler growth.
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Besides the amount of feed that is lost to non-target species such as these...and altering deer behavior and affecting wildlife movements, there is a host of other problems associated with using bait and feed.
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CWD has been proven to be spread through infected saliva and fecal contact. Therefore increasing the concentrations of deer at deer feeders may in turn increase the transmission or spread of CWD.

- Disease transmission
  - 1994- MI DNR detected bovine tuberculosis (BTB) in deer/elk: spread of BTB directly linked to artificial feeding and deer/elk concentrations
  - Canine distemper
  - Rabies
  - Parvo
  - Mange
  - CWD?
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Consideration of game in a central location destroys the native vegetation.
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Aflatoxin is a poisonous compound produced by a fungus. Corn grown under stressfully hot and or droughty conditions are more susceptible to infection.

Aflatoxin may be lethal to them even at small doses.

Implications of aflatoxins to deer: *Cover caption information*

Deer are also susceptible to other toxins that may be present at feeding stations: *Cover caption information*

Data: Arkansas study -- AGFC personnel collected samples of whole shelled corn from retail stores and from field samples during the 2008-09 deer season. Aflatoxins were detected in 10 of 51 (19.6%) samples obtained from retail stores ranging from 5.2-476.0 ppb. Aflatoxins were detected in 39 of 151 (25.8%) field samples having levels ranging from 5.3-897.7 ppb.
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By artificially congregating deer and other wildlife to a feeder, you also affect how predators such as bobcats and coyotes search for prey. “Like ringing a dinner bell”

TX studies have shown significant turkey nest predation up to 400 meters from deer feeding stations.

AGFC is currently partnering with the University of Arkansas at Monticello to conduct a turkey nest survival in relation to artificial feeding in counties in SE AR. This study will examine the relationship between turkey nest survival and its location to active supplemental feeding. The theory is that each active feeder creates a dead zone where turkey nests have poor survival rates.
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Ethics
Many hunters and anti-hunters question whether hunting over bait should be considered “fair-chase”? 
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This will decrease the amount of time that bait sits on the ground and decrease the amount of feed lost to non-target species.

Feeding Do’s

- Spread feed out over large areas. Move feeding sites periodically.
- Disperse bait in short bursts.
- Place mineral licks/liquid attractant on stumps or logs that can be removed if necessary.
- Check aflatoxin rates. ≤ 20 ppb (parts per billion).
- Avoid the use of artificial feed during nesting and brood rearing periods (May-August).
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Following these guidelines will help prevent any issues caused by baiting.

**Feeding Do Not’s**

- Pile feed on the ground or consistently use one spot/stump for baiting or mineral licks.
- Allow feed to stand in water. If using feeding troughs, cover the trough and drill holes in the bottom of the trough to allow drainage.
- Use feed that is molded, has fungus, or is discolored.
- Use feed near areas that are prone to increase people-animal conflicts (i.e. near roads or houses) or people-people conflicts (i.e. near property boundary lines).